


Aerosol containment box to the rescue: extra protection for the front line

Steven H Hsu ¹, Hsien Yung Lai,² Firas Zabaneh,³ Faisal N Masud⁴

ABSTRACT

As the COVID-19 pandemic has created shortages of vital personal protective equipment that threatens healthcare workers' risk of exposure, a need for innovative new ways to protect healthcare workers has emerged. An aerosol containment box that covers the patient's head and neck in bed provides a solution to protect clinicians during aerosol-generating procedures such as intubation. We collaborated with original designer HYL and modified the size to adapt to larger patients and operator mobility. We expand its applicability by allowing the use of different instruments. The container is outfitted with an ultra-low particulate air-equipped filtration vacuum device to create negative pressure within the chamber and actively remove floating droplet nuclei generated during a procedure. This barrier method will be a valuable and economical option to protect healthcare workers on the front line globally during this pandemic and beyond.

As the COVID-19 pandemic has developed, the reported percentage of patients who develop respiratory failure and require mechanical ventilation has ranged from 3% to 15%.^{1,2} Aerosol-generating procedures including intubation pose the greatest exposure risk for healthcare workers. During an airway procedure, there is a risk of exposure to aerosolised droplets on the operator's hair, neck, ears and shoes and on the surroundings in the patient's room.³ Meanwhile, shortages of personal protective equipment may place healthcare personnel at greater risk.

In response to the need to further protect our healthcare providers, we collaborated with HYL to adapt the design of his 'aerosol box,' a clear plastic barrier device to shield clinicians from aerosolised particles during airway procedures.⁴ Hospitals around the world have begun manufacturing these



Figure 1 Use of the aerosol containment device for a simulated intubation procedure.

boxes and testing their ability to protect against aerosol spread during intubation.³⁻⁵ We first tested the original design in the emergency department and intensive care unit for intubation and bronchoscopy. Clinicians found that the 50cm width of the prototype did not fit obese patients, the 10cm arm holes limited manoeuvrability for some operators, and the 50cm height was too low for insertion or removal of certain types of stylet. Our modified aerosol containment device (**figure 1**) addresses these issues with increased height (60cm at the open end), width (60cm) and armhole width (15cm). The top of the box is slanted towards the operator at an 8° angle to eliminate visual blockage by the upper joint for operators of various heights.

We added two side ports to accommodate the hose of an ultra-low particulate air (ULPA) device (Atrix Omega Plus H14). Adding a vacuum creates partial negative pressure within the container to facilitate the removal of droplet nuclei and capture them with the ULPA filter to significantly reduce the risk of exposure. The device ports can be cut to different sizes to fit another vacuum or suctioning apparatus, allowing healthcare workers to make use of what is readily available in their hospital setting. The ULPA device used in our study has a filter installed within the vacuum that captures particles of 0.12µm or higher at

an efficiency of 99.97%. In settings without such equipment, a bacterial/viral filter can be attached to the port before connecting to a suction or vacuum apparatus. The two-sided design allows the dexterity of the vacuum placement depending on room configuration. The vacuum effectively removed air inside the box as demonstrated by a smoke test (online supplementary video 1). This bottled powdered smoke (Regin FP-201) is used to confirm negative pressure in isolation rooms. The turbulent smoke generated has a particle size of 0.3–2.5µm, which simulates aerosol particles that are less than 10µm, which are considered 'airborne transmission' and able to penetrate below the glottis to the alveolar space.⁶

The test was conducted using a disposable, flexible material to cover the open end of the box, which allows a negative pressure seal with the vacuum and further contains droplet dispersion. We also plugged vacuum ports that were not in use with a rubber stopper. The vacuum device should remain active for at least 30s after completion of the procedure to remove floating particles, based on the vacuum strength and container size described. This will prevent particles from re-aerosolising when removing the container from the patient. After this waiting period, the vacuum device should be turned off and the box removed off the patient carefully without creating excessive air turbulence within the chamber. The container can be cleaned with a disinfectant spray or wipe recommended for the material used in its construction. In the future, we plan to add rubber seals to the armholes for additional protection of the operator and to use an antifog coating to ensure visibility. The container can also be used to insert other instruments through side port or armholes, expanding its use for nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal samplings, bronchoscopy or even gastroduodenoscopy.

There are several limitations with this device. Operators should be familiar with and practice manoeuvres within the confined space. The box may not fit all body habitus, and short neck length will increase the distance to the operator's arms. During difficult intubation or emergency, the box may pose as a barrier and should be readily removed with careful handling to avoid injury.

The design and intermediate iterations are available online.⁷ The use of the box is a simple way which might reduce aerosolisation during aerosol-generating procedures. It may provide additional protection to front-line healthcare workers. We suspect that the aerosol box will be instrumental beyond the current COVID-19 pandemic

¹Department of Medicine, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA

²Department of Anesthesiology, Mennonite Christian Hospital, Hualien, Taiwan

³System Infection Prevention and Control, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA

⁴Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA

Correspondence to Dr Steven H Hsu, Department of Medicine, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, TX 77030, USA; shhsu@houstonmethodist.org

to protect clinicians from highly contagious droplet or airborne infections.

Contributors All authors contributed to the design of the device. SH, FM and FZ planned and performed the testing. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests FM is a consultant for Portola Company outside of the submitted work.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Author note The information on the aerosol containment device has been previously published on the internet to share with all healthcare providers during this COVID-19 pandemic. 1. <https://www.houstonmethodist.org/-/media/pdf/Research/covid19/HM-Aerosol-Container-User-Guide-final.ashx>. 2. "Aerosol Container Smoke Test" (April 2, 2020). <https://aerosolblock.org>.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions

for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Handling editor Lara Nicole Goldstein

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/emered-2020-209829>).



To cite Hsu SH, Lai HY, Zabaneh F, *et al.* *Emerg Med J* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/emered-2020-209829

Received 20 April 2020

Revised 20 May 2020

Accepted 22 May 2020

Emerg Med J 2020;0:1–2.

doi:10.1136/emered-2020-209829

ORCID iD

Steven H Hsu <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5587-7844>

REFERENCES

- Meng L, Qiu H, Wan L, *et al.* Intubation and ventilation amid the COVID-19 outbreak: Wuhan's experience. *Anesthesiology* 2020;132:1317–32.
- Poston JT, Patel BK, Davis AM. Management of critically ill adults with COVID-19. *JAMA* 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.4914
- Canelli R, Connor CW, Gonzalez M, *et al.* Barrier Enclosure during endotracheal intubation. *N Engl J Med Overseas Ed* 2020;382:1957–8.
- Lai HY, Chang D. Aerosol box protects healthcare providers during endotracheal intubation. Available: <https://sites.google.com/view/aerosolbox/home> [Accessed 31 Mar 2020].
- Lai HY, Chang D. Aerosol block. Available: <https://www.aerosolblock.org/> [Accessed 31 Mar 2020].
- Tellier R, Li Y, Cowling BJ, *et al.* Recognition of aerosol transmission of infectious agents: a commentary. *BMC Infect Dis* 2019;19:101.
- Houston Methodist Research Institute. Aerosol container user guide. Available: <https://www.houstonmethodist.org/-/media/pdf/Research/covid19/HM-Aerosol-Container-User-Guide-final.ashx> [Accessed 20 May 2020].